

q -SERIES AND L -FUNCTIONS RELATED TO HALF-DERIVATIVES OF THE ANDREWS–GORDON IDENTITY

KAZUHIRO HIKAMI

A . Studied is a generalization of Zagier's q -series identity. We introduce a generating function of L -functions at non-positive integers, which is regarded as a half-differential of the Andrews–Gordon q -series. When q is a root of unity, the generating function coincides with the quantum invariant for the torus knot.

1. I

In Ref. [13], Zagier studied the q -series,

$$(1) \quad X(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^n)$$

and proved that the asymptotic expansion is given by

$$(2) \quad X(e^{-t}) = e^{t/24} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^n$$

Here $T(n)$ is the Glaisher T -number,

$$\frac{\text{sh}(2x)}{\text{ch}(3x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{12}(n) e^{-nx} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{T(n)}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1}$$

and is given in terms of the Dirichlet L -function as

$$(3) \quad T(n) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+1} L(-2n-1, \chi_{12})$$

where $\chi_{12}(n)$ is the Dirichlet character with modulus 12 defined by

$n \bmod 12$	1	5	7	11	others
$\chi_{12}(n)$	1	-1	-1	1	0

It was pointed out that the right hand side of eq. (2) is regarded as a half-differential of the Dedekind η -function with weight $1/2$. Interesting is that the function $X(q)$ is intimately connected with the knot theory; it is a generating function of an upper bound of the number of linearly independent Vassiliev invariants.

Purpose of this paper is to study a generalization of Zagier's identity (see Refs. [4, 5, 10] for this attempt). Our motivation is based on an observation that the q -series $X(q)$ with q being root of unity

Date: November 21, 2002, Revised on May 8, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B65, 57M27, 05A30, 11F23 .

appears as a colored Jones invariant of the trefoil [8, 11]. We shall show that the q -series, which reduces to the invariant of the torus knot in a case of q being root of unity, becomes the generating function of the L -function with negative integers. We note that a relationship between the modular form and the quantum invariant was discussed in Ref. [9], where the Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev invariant of the Poincaré homology sphere was studied. Throughout this paper we use a standard notation,

$$(x)_n = (x; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x q^{i-1})$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_j)_n = (x_1, \dots, x_j; q)_n = (x_1)_n \cdots (x_j)_n$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_c (q)_{n-c}} & \text{for } 0 \leq c \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We state the main result of this article. Let the q -series $X_2^{(a)}(q)$ for $a = 0, 1$ be

$$(4) \quad X_2^{(0)}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n (q)_n q^{c^2+c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(5) \quad X_2^{(1)}(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n (q)_{n-1} q^{c^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 1.

$$(6) \quad X_2^{(0)}(e^{-t}) = e^{9t/40} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_2^{(0)}(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{40} \right)^n$$

$$(7) \quad X_2^{(1)}(e^{-t}) = e^{t/40} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_2^{(1)}(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{40} \right)^n$$

where

$$T_2^{(a)}(n) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+1} L(-2n-1, \chi_{20}^{(a)})$$

We note that, using the Mellin transformation, we have the generating function of the T -series;

$$\frac{\text{sh}(2(a+1)x)}{\text{ch}(5x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{20}^{(a)}(n) e^{-nx} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{T_2^{(a)}(n)}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1}$$

where the periodic function $\chi_{20}^{(a)}(n)$ are

$n \bmod 20$	3	7	13	17	other
$\chi_{20}^{(0)}(n)$	1	-1	-1	1	0
$n \bmod 20$	1	9	11	19	other
$\chi_{20}^{(1)}(n)$	1	-1	-1	1	0

To see a relationship with a modular form, we recall the well known Rogers–Ramanujan identity (see, *e.g.*, Refs. [1, 2, 6]);

$$(q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q)_n} = (q, q^4, q^5; q^5)_\infty$$

$$(8a) \quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{20}^{(0)}(n) q^{(n^2-9)/40}$$

$$(q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q)_n} = (q^2, q^3, q^5; q^5)_\infty$$

$$(8b) \quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{20}^{(1)}(n) q^{(n^2-1)/40}$$

Those functions are the two-dimensional representation of the modular group with weight 1/2, and Theorem 1 indicates that the q -series $X_2^{(a)}(q)$ is related with a “half-differential” of the Rogers–Ramanujan q -series;

$$(9) \quad X_2^{(a)}(q) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_{20}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2-(3-2a)^2}{40}}$$

Here two sides cannot be defined simultaneously but the equality holds as the Taylor expansions of $q \rightarrow e^{-t}$.

The Rogers–Ramanujan identity can be generalized to the Andrews–Gordon identity [2]; let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and $0 \leq a \leq m-1$, then

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_{m-1}^2 + n_{a+1} + \dots + n_{m-1}}}{(q)_{n_1-n_2} (q)_{n_2-n_3} \dots (q)_{n_{m-1}}} = \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \not\equiv 0, \pm(a+1) \pmod{2m+1}}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2-(2m-2a-1)^2}{8(2m+1)}}$$

where we have introduced the periodic function $\chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n)$ as

$n \pmod{8m+4}$	$2m-2a-1$	$2m+2a+3$	$6m-2a+1$	$6m+2a+5$	others
$\chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n)$	1	-1	-1	1	0

As a generalization of Theorem 1 to the q -series related to a half-derivative of the Andrews–Gordon q -series in a sense of eq. (9), we define the function $X_m^{(a)}(q)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $a = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ by

$$(11) \quad X_m^{(a)}(q) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m=0}^{\infty} (q)_{k_m} q^{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{m-1}^2 + k_{a+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq a}}^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 2.

$$(12) \quad X_m^{(a)}(e^{-t}) = e^{\frac{(2m-2a-1)^2}{8(2m+1)}t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_m^{(a)}(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{8(2m+1)} \right)^n$$

where T -series is given by the L -function

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} T_m^{(a)}(n) &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+1} L(-2n-1, \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}) \\ &= (-1)^n 2^{4n} \frac{(2m+1)^{2n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{r=1}^{8m+4} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(r) B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{r}{8m+4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the Bernoulli polynomial $B_n(x)$.

In this case the generating function of the T -series is written as

$$(14) \quad \frac{\text{sh}(2(a+1)x)}{\text{ch}((2m+1)x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) e^{-nx} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{T_m^{(a)}(n)}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1}$$

See that a case of $m = 2$ corresponds to Theorem 1 and that $m = 1$ is nothing but Zagier's identity (2). Furthermore above Theorem shows that

$$(15) \quad X_m^{(a)}(q) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2-(2m-2a-1)^2}{8(2m+1)}}$$

as a generalization of eq. (9).

For our later convention we collect q -series identities as follows (see, *e.g.*, Refs. [1, 6]);

- q -binomial coefficient

$$(16a) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ c \end{bmatrix} = q^c \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(16b) \quad = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} + q^{n+1-c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- q -binomial formula

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az)_{\infty}}{(z)_{\infty}}$$

- the Jacobi triple product identity

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{\frac{1}{2}k^2} x^k = (q)_{\infty} (x^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}})_{\infty} (xq^{\frac{1}{2}})_{\infty}$$

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1. Section 3 is for the proof of Theorem 2. Strategy to prove these theorems is essentially same with a proof of eq. (2) in Ref. [13]; we define the function $H_m^{(a)}(x)$ and derive the q -series $X_m^{(a)}(q)$ as a differential of $H_m^{(a)}(x)$. We comment on a relationship between the quantum knot invariant and our q -series in Section 4.

A

The author would like to thank Hitoshi Murakami for bringing Ref. [13] to attention. He thanks Ken Ono for useful communications. Thanks are also to George Andrews for sending Ref. [3]. He thanks Don Zagier for suggesting a proof of Theorem 17. This work is supported in part by the Sumitomo foundation.

2. P T 1

We define

$$(19) \quad H(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n (x)_{n+1} x^n \cdot q^{c^2+c} x^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.

$$(20) \quad H(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{20}^{(0)}(n) q^{(n^2-9)/40} x^{(n-3)/2}$$

Proof. We prove this identity by showing that both hand sides satisfy the same difference equation. For the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{20}(n) q^{(n^2-9)/40} x^{(n-3)/2} \\ &= 1 - q x^2 + \sum_{n=10}^{\infty} \chi_{20}(n) q^{(n^2-9)/40} x^{(n-3)/2} \\ (21) \quad &= 1 - q x^2 - q^4 x^5 H(q x) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\chi_{20}(n+10) = -\chi_{20}(n)$.

To study the difference equation for the left hand side, we further define

$$(22) \quad H(x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n (x)_n y^n \cdot q^{c^2+c} z^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

and we investigate the difference equation of $H(x, y, z)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, y, q z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} (x)_n y^n q^{a^2+a-2} z^{2a-2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ a-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{(16a)}{=} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^m (x)_{m-1} y^{m-1} q^{a^2+a-2} z^{2a-2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ a \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad - q^{-2} z^{-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^n (x)_n y^n q^{a^2+a} (q^{1/2} z)^{2a} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ a \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^m \frac{(q^{-1}x)_m}{1 - q^{-1}x} y^{m-1} q^{a^2+a-2} z^{2a-2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ a \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad - q^{-2} z^{-2} H(x, y, q^{1/2} z) \\
(23) \quad &= \frac{y^{-1} q^{-2} z^{-2}}{1 - q^{-1} x} \left(H(q^{-1} x, y, z) - 1 \right) - q^{-2} z^{-2} H(x, y, q^{1/2} z)
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we have following;

$$\begin{aligned}
H(x, qy, z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n (x)_n (1 - (1 - q^n x)) x^{-1} y^n q^{c^2+c} z^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\
&\stackrel{(16b)}{=} x^{-1} H(x, y, z) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^{n+1} (x)_{n+1} x^{-1} y^n q^{c^2+c} z^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=1}^{n+1} (x)_{n+1} x^{-1} y^n q^{c^2+n+1} z^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c-1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= x^{-1} H(x, y, z) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^m (x)_m x^{-1} y^{m-1} q^{c^2+c} z^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^n (x)_{n+1} x^{-1} y^n q^{a^2+2a+n+2} z^{2a+2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ a \end{bmatrix} \\
(24) \quad &= x^{-1} y^{-1} + x^{-1} (1 - y^{-1}) H(x, y, z) + q^2 (1 - x) x^{-1} z^2 H(qx, qy, q^{1/2} z)
\end{aligned}$$

We combine these two difference equations; from eqs. (24) we eliminate $H(qx, qy, q^{1/2} z)$ using eq. (23). We get

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad (xy - qz^2) H(x, qy, z) + (1 - y) H(x, y, z) \\
= -q^4 (1 - x) y z^4 H(qx, qy, qz) + 1 - qz^2
\end{aligned}$$

When we substitute $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (qx, x, x)$ to this equation, the first term vanishes. Recalling that

$$(26) \quad H(x) = (1 - x) H(qx, x, x)$$

we obtain eq. (21). □

Setting $x \rightarrow 1$ in Prop. 3, we see that the right hand side reduces to the Rogers–Ramanujan q -series due to the Jacobi triple identity,

$$H(x = 1) = (q, q^4, q^5; q^5)_{\infty}$$

For the left hand side (19), we see this fact from the following lemma, which can be proved by use of the binomial formula (17).

Lemma 4.

$$(27) \quad H(x) = (q\,x)_\infty \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{c^2+c}}{(q\,x)_c} x^{3c} + (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n ((q\,x)_n - (q\,x)_\infty) x^n q^{c^2+c} x^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1, we recall the known result on the Mellin transformation;

Proposition 5. *Let χ_p be a periodic function with modulus p with mean value zero, and*

$$L(s, \chi_p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_p(n)}{n^s}$$

As $t \searrow 0$ we have

$$(28) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_p(n) e^{-n^2 t} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L(-2n-1, \chi_p) \frac{(-t)^n}{n!}$$

Proof. Assumptions of χ_p support that $L(s, \chi_p)$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. We apply the Mellin transformation to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_p(n) e^{-n^2 t} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n t^n$$

From the left hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_p(n) \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-n^2 t} dt &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_p(n) \frac{\Gamma(s)}{n^{2s-1}} \\ &= \Gamma(s) L(2s-1, \chi_p) \end{aligned}$$

We also have from the right hand side that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \gamma_n t^n + O(t^N) \right) t^{s-1} dt = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{\gamma_n}{n+s} + R_N(s)$$

where $R_N(s)$ is analytic in $\Re(s) > -N$. Thus γ_n is the residue of $\Gamma(s) L(2s-1, \chi_p)$ at $s = -N$, and we get

$$\gamma_n = (-1)^n \frac{L(-2n-1, \chi_p)}{n!}$$

□

Proof of eq. (6) in Theorem 1. We equate eq. (20) with eq. (27), and we set $x \rightarrow 1$ after differentiating with respect to x . Using eq. (8a), we get

$$(29) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2} \chi_{20}^{(0)}(n) q^{(n^2-9)/40} = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n ((q)_n - (q)_\infty) q^{c^2+c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ + (q)_\infty \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{c^2+c}}{(q)_c} \left(3c + \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right) + (q, q^4, q^5; q^5)_\infty \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right)$$

We substitute $q = e^{-t}$ to eq. (29), and study the Taylor expansion of t . Therein terms including infinite products such as $(q)_\infty$ and $(q, q^4, q^5; q^5)_\infty$ vanish as they induce an infinite order of t . Then we get eq. (9). Using Prop. 5 we recover eq. (6) in Theorem 1. $\square$

For the proof of the rest of Theorem 1, we define the function $G(x)$ by

$$(30) \quad G(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n (x)_n x^{n-1} \cdot q^{c^2} x^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 6.

$$(31) \quad G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{20}^{(1)}(n) q^{(n^2-1)/40} x^{(n-1)/2}$$

Proof. We show that both hand sides satisfy the same q -difference equation. It is easy to see that the right hand side satisfies

$$(32) \quad G(x) = 1 - q^2 x^4 - q^3 x^5 G(qx)$$

For the left hand side, we substitute $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, x, q^{-1/2}x)$ in eq. (25). Recalling that the function $G(x)$ in eq. (30) is given by

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left(H(x, x, q^{-1/2}x) - 1 \right)$$

we obtain eq. (32). $\square$

One sees from eq. (31) using eq. (8b) that the function $G(x)$ gives the Rogers–Ramanujan q -series

$$G(x=1) = (q^2, q^3, q^5; q^5)_\infty$$

For expression (30), we can rewrite as follows using the binomial formula (17). This lemma supports above equality.

Lemma 7.

$$(33) \quad G(x) = (qx)_\infty \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{c^2}}{(qx)_c} x^{3c-1} - (1-x) x^{-1} (qx)_\infty \\ + (1-x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n ((qx)_{n-1} - (qx)_\infty) x^{n-1} q^{c^2} x^{2c} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof of eq. (7) in Theorem 1. We differentiate both eqs. (31) and (33) w.r.t. x and substitute $x \rightarrow 1$. Using eq. (8b) we have

$$(34) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2} \chi_{20}^{(1)}(n) q^{(n^2-1)/40} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^n ((q)_{n-1} - (q)_{\infty}) q^{c^2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ - (q^2, q^3, q^5; q^5)_{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right) + (q)_{\infty} + (q)_{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{c^2}}{(q)_c} \left(3c + \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right)$$

We substitute $q = e^{-t}$, and we obtain eq. (9). Prop. 5 proves eq. (7) in Theorem 1. $\square$

3. P T 2

We define the function $H_m^{(a)}(x)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $a = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ by

$$(35) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k_m+1} x^{k_m} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} q^{k_i^2} x^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ \times q^{k_a^2} x^{2k_a} \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=a+1}^{m-1} q^{k_i^2 + k_i} x^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Proposition 8.

$$(36) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2 - (2m-2a-1)^2}{8(2m+1)}} x^{\frac{n - (2m-2a-1)}{2}}$$

Proof. Method is essentially same with a proof of Prop. 3; we prove that both sides satisfy the same difference equation as a function of x . Anti-periodicity, $\chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n + 4m + 2) = -\chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n)$, shows that the r.h.s. satisfies the difference equation

$$(37) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x) = 1 - q^{a+1} x^{2a+2} - q^{2m-a} x^{2m+1} H_m^{(a)}(qx)$$

For the l.h.s. we prepare several difference equations for the following functions;

$$(38) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k_m} y^{k_m} \\ \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} q^{k_i^2} z_i^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot q^{k_a^2} z_a^{2k_a} \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \left(\prod_{i=a+1}^{m-1} q^{k_i^2 + k_i} z_i^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

We note that

$$(39) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x) = (1-x) H_m^{(a)}(qx, x, x)$$

where for brevity we have used a notation, $\mathbf{x} = (\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m-1})$.

By applying eq. (16a) to $\begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix}$ in the definition (35) of $H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1})$, we obtain a following equation;

$$(40) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_{a-1}, z_a, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ + q z_a^2 H_m^{(a-1)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{a-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_a, z_{a+1}, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

When we apply eq. (16b) in place of eq. (16a), we get for $a = 0, \dots, m-2$

$$(41) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_a, z_{a+1}, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ + q z_a^2 H_m^{(a-1)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_a, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{a+1}, z_{a+2}, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

For $a = m-1$ we have

$$(42) \quad H_m^{(m-1)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ = H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) + q z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(m-2)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

Next we have

$$(43) \quad H_m^{(m-1)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ = \sum_{k_m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{m-1}=0}^{k_m} \cdots \sum_{k_1=0}^{k_2} (q x)_{k_m-1} y^{k_m-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} q^{k_i^2} z_i^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{(1-x)y} \left(H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) - 1 \right)$$

Further we have

$$(44) \quad H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ = \sum_{k_m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{m-1}=0}^{k_m} \cdots \sum_{k_1=0}^{k_2} (q x)_{k_m-1} (1 - q^{k_m} x) y^{k_m} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} q^{k_i^2 + k_i} z_i^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{1-x} \left(H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - x H_m^{(0)}(x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \right)$$

We use these difference equations to prove Theorem 2.

A recursive use of eq. (40) gives

$$(45) \quad H_m^{(m-1)}(q x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) = H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) \\ + q^2 z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-3}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\ + q^4 z_{m-2}^2 z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-4}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-3}, q z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\ + \cdots + q^{2m-2} z_1^2 \cdots z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, q z_1, \dots, q z_{m-1})$$

while a recursive use of eq. (41) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad H_m^{(m-2)}(q x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1}) &= H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1}) \\
&\quad + q^2 z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-3}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\
&\quad + q^4 z_{m-3}^2 z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-4}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-3}, q z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\
&\quad + \dots + q^{2m-4} z_1^2 \dots z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, q z_2, \dots, q z_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - x H_m^{(0)}(x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\
&\stackrel{(44)}{=} (1 - x) H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\
&\stackrel{(42)}{=} (1 - x) \left(H_m^{(m-1)}(q x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q^2 z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(m-2)}(q x, q y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1}) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(43)}{=} y^{-1} \left(H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - 1 \right) \\
&\quad - q^2 (1 - x) z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(m-2)}(q x, q y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting eq. (46) into above equation, we get a difference equation for $H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1})$;

$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad (1 - y^{-1}) H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - x H_m^{(0)}(x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) + y^{-1} \\
= -q^2 (1 - x) z_{m-1}^2 \left(H_m^{(0)}(q x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1}) \right. \\
\quad + q^2 z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-3}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\
\quad \left. + \dots + q^{2m-4} z_1^2 \dots z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, q y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, q z_2, \dots, q z_{m-1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therewith by substituting eq. (45) into eq. (43), we obtain another difference equation for $H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1})$;

$$\begin{aligned}
(48) \quad \frac{1}{(1 - x)y} \left(H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - 1 \right) - q^{2m-2} z_1^2 \dots z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, q z_1, \dots, q z_{m-1}) \\
= H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-1}) + q^2 z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-3}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\
\quad + \dots + q^{2m-4} z_2^2 \dots z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_1, q z_2, \dots, q z_{m-1})
\end{aligned}$$

We set $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_{m-1} = z$ in eqs. (47) and (48). We can eliminate the right hand side of eq. (48) by use of eq. (47), and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(49) \quad -(1 - y) H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z) + 1 - q z^2 \\
= (x y - q z^2) H_m^{(0)}(x, q y, z) + q^{2m} (1 - x) y z^{2m} H_m^{(0)}(q x, q y, q z)
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (q x, x, x)$, and recalling eq. (39), we find $H_m^{(0)}(x)$ satisfies q -difference equation (37) with $a = 0$.

In the case of $a \neq 0$, we first recall that

$$(50) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_{a-1}, z_a, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ + q z_a^2 H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_{a-2}, z_{a-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_a, z_{a+1}, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ + \dots + q^a z_1^2 \dots z_a^2 H_m^{(0)}(x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_a, z_{a+1}, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

which is given by an iterated use of eq. (40). We rewrite this identity as

$$(51) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = \left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_m^{(a)} H_m^{(0)} \right) (x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

Here the difference operator is defined by

$$(52) \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}}_m^{(a)} = \hat{T}_1^{-1} \dots \hat{T}_{a-1}^{-1} + q z_a^2 \hat{T}_1^{-1} \dots \hat{T}_{a-2}^{-1} \hat{T}_a + q^2 z_{a-1}^2 z_a^2 \hat{T}_1^{-1} \dots \hat{T}_{a-3}^{-1} \hat{T}_{a-1} \hat{T}_a \\ + \dots + q^a z_1^2 \dots z_a^2 \hat{T}_1 \dots \hat{T}_a$$

where we have used the q -shift operator

$$(53) \quad (\hat{T}_k^{\pm 1} f)(z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) = f(z_1, \dots, q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}z_k, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

It can be seen by a direct computation that for $1 \leq b \leq a$ we have

$$(54) \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}}_m^{(a)} z_b^2 \dots z_a^2 H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{b-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_b, q z_{b+1}, \dots, q z_a, z_{a+1}, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ = q^{b-a} z_b^2 \dots z_a^2 H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{b-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_b, q z_{b+1}, \dots, q z_a, q_{a+1}, \dots, q_{m-1})$$

We apply $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_m^{(a)}$ to eq. (47). Using eq. (54), we get

$$(55) \quad (1 - y^{-1}) H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - x H_m^{(a)}(x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) \\ + y^{-1} (1 + q z_a^2 + \dots + q^a z_1^2 \dots z_a^2) \\ = -q^2 (1 - x) z_{m-1}^2 \left(H_m^{(a)}(q x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) + \dots + \right. \\ + q^{2(m-a-1)} z_a^2 \dots z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(a)}(q x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{a-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_a, q z_{a+1}, \dots, q z_{m-1}) \\ + q^{2(m-a)-1} z_{a-1}^2 \dots z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(a)}(q x, q y, z_1, \dots, z_{a-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{a-1}, q z_a, \dots, q z_{m-1}) \\ \left. + \dots + q^{2m-a-1} z_1^2 \dots z_{m-2}^2 H_m^{(a)}(q x, q y, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_1, q z_2, \dots, q z_{m-1}) \right)$$

Using eq. (50), eq. (48) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)y} \left(H_m^{(0)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - 1 \right) \\ = H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) + q^2 z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-3}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-2}, q z_{m-1}) \\ + \dots + q^{2(m-a-2)} z_{a+2}^2 \dots z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(0)}(q x, y, z_1, \dots, z_a, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{a+1}, q z_{a+2}, \dots, q z_{m-1}) + \\ q^{2(m-a-1)} z_{a+1}^2 \dots z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(a)}(q x, y, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_a, q z_{a+1}, \dots, q z_{m-1})$$

Applying $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_m^{(a)}$ to above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(56) \quad & \frac{1}{(1-x)y} \left(H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) - (1 + qz_a^2 + \dots + q^a z_1^2 \dots z_a^2) \right) \\
& = H_m^{(a)}(qx, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-1}) + q^2 z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(a)}(qx, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-3}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{m-2}, qz_{m-1}) \\
& \quad + \dots + q^{2(m-a-2)} z_{a+2}^2 \dots z_{m-1}^2 H_m^{(a)}(qx, y, z_1, \dots, z_a, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{a+1}, qz_{a+2}, \dots, qz_{m-1}) \\
& \quad + q^{2(m-a-1)} z_{a+1}^2 \dots z_{m-1}^2 \left(H_m^{(a)}(qx, y, z_1, \dots, z_{a-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_a, qz_{a+1}, \dots, qz_{m-1}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + qz_a^2 H_m^{(a)}(qx, y, z_1, \dots, z_{a-2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_{a-1}, qz_a, \dots, qz_{m-1}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \dots + q^a z_1^2 \dots z_a^2 H_m^{(a)}(qx, y, qz_1, \dots, qz_{m-1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We set $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_{m-1} = z$ in eqs. (55) and (56). Combining these two equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(57) \quad & - (1-y) H_m^{(a)}(x, y, z) - (xy - qz^2) H_m^{(a)}(x, qy, z) + 1 - q^{a+1} z^{2a+2} \\
& = q^{2m-a} (1-x) y z^{2m} H_m^{(a)}(qx, qy, qz)
\end{aligned}$$

When we set $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (qx, x, x)$, and by definition (39) we can conclude that $H_m^{(a)}(x)$ satisfies eq. (37). $\square$

Corollary 9. Let the function $\tilde{H}_m^{(a)}(x)$ be

$$\tilde{H}_m^{(a)}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left(H_m^{(a)}(x, x, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) - 1 \right)$$

It satisfies the difference equation;

$$(58) \quad \tilde{H}_m^{(a)}(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{2a} - q^{m-a} x^{2m} - q^{m-a+1} x^{2m+1} \tilde{H}_m^{(a)}(qx)$$

Proof. Setting $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, x, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)$ in eq. (57) gives above equation. $\square$

We see from the right hand side of eq. (36) that

$$(59) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x=1) = (q^{a+1}, q^{2m-a}, q^{2m+1}; q^{2m+1})_{\infty}$$

For the left hand side we have the following identity which follows from eq. (17);

Lemma 10.

$$\begin{aligned}
(60) \quad H_m^{(a)}(x) &= (q x)_\infty \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{m-1}^2 + k_{a+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}}}{(x q)_{k_{m-1}}} x^{2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k_i + k_{m-1}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq a}}^{m-2} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + (1 - x) \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m=0}^{\infty} ((q x)_{k_m} - (q x)_\infty) x^{k_m} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} q^{k_i^2} x^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&\quad \times q^{k_a^2} x^{2k_a} \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=a+1}^{m-1} q^{k_i^2 + k_i} x^{2k_i} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

To check eq. (59) from eq. (60), we need a variant of the Andrews–Gordon identity;

Proposition 11.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(q^{a+1}, q^{2m-a}, q^{2m+1}; q^{2m+1})_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \\
&= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{m-1}^2 + k_{a+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}}}{(q)_{k_{m-1}}} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq a}}^{m-2} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

To prove this proposition we need a certain limit of the Bailey lemma (see, *e.g.*, Ref. [2, 12, 3]);

Proposition 12 (Bailey lemma). *If for $n \geq 0$*

$$(61) \quad \beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha_r}{(q)_{n-r} (x q)_{n+r}}$$

then

$$(62) \quad \beta'_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha'_r}{(q)_{n-r} (x q)_{n+r}}$$

where

$$(63) \quad \alpha'_r = \frac{(\rho_1)_r (\rho_2)_r}{(x q / \rho_1)_r (x q / \rho_2)_r} \left(\frac{x q}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \right)^r \alpha_r$$

$$(64) \quad \beta'_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho_1)_j (\rho_2)_j \left(\frac{x q}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \right)_{n-j}}{(q)_{n-j} (x q / \rho_1)_n (x q / \rho_2)_n} \left(\frac{x q}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \right)^j \beta_j$$

Corollary 13.

$$(65) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k x^k}{(q)_{n-k} (x q)_{n+k}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{q^{j^2} x^j}{(q)_{n-j}} \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{a_k q^{-k^2}}{(q)_{j-k} (x q)_{j+k}}$$

Proof. We take a limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Prop. 12. Then, we set $\rho_1 = q^{-m}$, $\rho_2 = q^{-n}$, and $\alpha_k = q^{-k^2} a_k$ and take $m \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Corollary 14.

$$(66) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{(q)_{n-k} (q)_{n+k}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{j^2}}{(q)_{n-j}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_k q^{-k^2}}{(q)_{j-k} (q)_{j+k}}$$

$$(67) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{(q)_{n-k} (q)_{n+k-1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{j^2-j}}{(q)_{n-j}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_k q^{-(k^2-k)}}{(q)_{j-k} (q)_{j+k-1}}$$

Proof. We set $x = 1, q^{-1}$ in Corollary 13 and take a symmetrization for a_k . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 11. We set the left hand side of Prop. 11 as $A_m^{(a)}$. We apply the triple Jacobi identity to $A_m^{(a)}$, and then use the Bailey chain recursively;

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} A_m^{(a)} &\stackrel{(18)}{=} \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(m+\frac{1}{2})k^2 - (m-a-\frac{1}{2})k} \\ &\stackrel{(67)}{=} \sum_{k_{m-1} \geq k_{m-2} \geq \dots \geq k_{a+1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=a+1}^{m-1} (k_i^2 - k_i)}}{(q)_{k_{m-1}-k_{m-2}} \cdots (q)_{k_{a+2}-k_{a+1}}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{(a+\frac{3}{2})k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k}}{(q)_{k_{a+1}-k} (q)_{k_{a+1}+k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have for arbitrary c that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n-1 \\ n-k \end{matrix} \right] (-1)^k q^{ck^2 - \frac{1}{2}k} \\ &\stackrel{(16b)}{=} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n \\ n-k \end{matrix} \right] (-1)^k q^{ck^2 - \frac{1}{2}k} - q^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} 2n-1 \\ n+k \end{matrix} \right] (-1)^k q^{ck^2 + \frac{1}{2}k} \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(69) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{ck^2 - \frac{1}{2}k}}{(q)_{n-k} (q)_{n+k-1}} = (1 - q^n) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{ck^2 - \frac{1}{2}k}}{(q)_{n-k} (q)_{n+k}}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^{(a)} &\stackrel{(69)}{=} \sum_{k_{m-1} \geq \dots \geq k_{a+1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=a+1}^{m-1} (k_i^2 - k_i)}}{(q)_{k_{m-1}-k_{m-2}} \cdots (q)_{k_{a+2}-k_{a+1}}} (1 - q^{k_{a+1}}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{(a+\frac{3}{2})k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k}}{(q)_{k_{a+1}-k} (q)_{k_{a+1}+k}} \\ &\stackrel{(66)}{=} \sum_{k_{m-1} \geq \dots \geq k_1 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=a+1}^{m-1} (k_i^2 - k_i) + \sum_{k=1}^a k_i^2}}{(q)_{k_{m-1}-k_{m-2}} \cdots (q)_{k_2-k_1} (q)_{k_1}} (1 - q^{k_{a+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

We note that, in the last equality, we have also used

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\frac{1}{2}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k}}{(q)_{n-k} (q)_{n+k}} = \delta_{n,0}$$

After we shift parameters; $(k_{a+1}, \dots, k_{m-1}) \rightarrow (k_{a+1}+1, \dots, k_{m-1}+1)$, we get a statement of Prop. 11. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. We differentiate eqs. (60) and (36) with respect to x , and substitute $x \rightarrow 1$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (70) \quad & (q^{a+1}, q^{2m-a}, q^{2m+1}; q^{2m+1})_{\infty} \left(\frac{2m-2a-1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right) \\ & + (q)_{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} q^{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{m-1}^2 + k_{a+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}} \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k_i + k_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k_{m-1}} \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right) \\ & \times \frac{1 - q^{k_{a+1}+1}}{(q)_{k_{m-1}-k_{m-2}} \cdots (q)_{k_{a+2}-k_{a+1}} (q)_{k_{a+1}-k_a+1} (q)_{k_a-k_{a-1}} \cdots (q)_{k_1}} \\ & - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} ((q)_{k_m} - (q)_{\infty}) q^{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{m-1}^2 + k_{a+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq a}}^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} k_{a+1} + 1 \\ k_a \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{n^2 - (2m-2a-1)^2}{8(2m+1)}} \end{aligned}$$

We substitute $q \rightarrow e^{-t}$, and find eq. (15). As a result we obtain Theorem 2 applying Prop. 5. $\square$

4. K I N M F

We comment on a relationship between our q -series and the knot invariant. Generally the q -series $X_m^{(a)}(q)$ does not converge in any open set of q , but it reduces to the finite number in a case of q being root of unity. Furthermore this finite value coincides with Kashaev's invariant (or, the colored Jones polynomial with a specific value) for the $(2m+1, 2)$ -torus knot.

We prepare the following q -series identity;

Lemma 15. *We set $a \geq c \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$(71) \quad \sum_{b=c}^a (-1)^{b+c} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}b(b+1) + \frac{1}{2}c(c+1)}}{(q)_{a-b} (q)_{b-c}} = q^{c^2+c} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Using $b = c + n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{l.h.s.} &= q^{c^2+c} \sum_{n=0}^{a-c} (-1)^n \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2+n)+cn}}{(q)_{a-c-n} (q)_n} \\
&= \frac{q^{c^2+c}}{(q)_{a-c}} \sum_{n=0}^{a-c} \frac{(q^{-(a-c)})_n}{(q)_n} q^{n(1+a)} \\
&\stackrel{(17)}{=} \frac{q^{c^2+c}}{(q)_{a-c}} \cdot \frac{(q^{c+1})_\infty}{(q^{a+1})_\infty} = q^{c^2+c} \frac{(q^{c+1})_{a-c}}{(q)_{a-c}}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves eq. (71). □

We set $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and define[§]

$$(72) \quad \omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$$

Hereafter we mean that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega)_n &= \prod_{a=1}^n (1 - \omega^a) \\
\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ c \end{matrix} \right] &= \frac{(\omega)_n}{(\omega)_c (\omega)_{n-c}}
\end{aligned}$$

and $*$ denotes a complex conjugate.

Proposition 16. *When q being the N -th root of unity, $X_m^{(0)}(q = \omega)$ defined in eq. (11) coincides with Kashaev's invariant for the $(2, 2m + 1)$ -torus knot.*

Proof. We take an example for $m = 2$ case;

$$\begin{aligned}
X_2^{(0)}(\omega) &= \sum_{0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq N-1} (\omega)_{k_2} \omega^{k_1^2+k_1} \left[\begin{matrix} k_2 \\ k_1 \end{matrix} \right] \\
&\stackrel{(71)}{=} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 \leq n \leq k_2 \leq N-1} (-1)^{n+k_1} (\omega)_{k_2} \frac{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)+\frac{1}{2}k_1(k_1+1)}}{(\omega)_{k_2-n} (\omega)_{n-k_1}} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq k_1 \leq n \leq k_2 \leq N-1} \frac{(\omega)_{k_2}}{(\omega)_{k_2-n} (\omega)_{n-k_1}^*} \omega^{k_1(1+n)} \\
&\stackrel{(73)}{=} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 \leq n \leq N-1} \frac{N}{(\omega)_{n-k_1}^*} \omega^{k_1(1+n)} \\
&\stackrel{(74)}{=} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 \leq n \leq N-1} (\omega)_{N-n+k_1-1} \omega^{k_1(1+n)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{a,b=0 \\ 0 \leq a+b \leq N-1}}^{N-1} (\omega)_{a+b} \omega^{-ab}
\end{aligned}$$

[§]We use $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

where we have used parameters $a = k_1$, $b = N - 1 - n$. The last expression coincides with Kashaev's invariant for the $(5, 2)$ -torus knot given in Ref. [7]. Here we have used for $0 \leq a \leq N - 1$

$$(73) \quad \sum_{a \leq b \leq N-1} \frac{(\omega)_b}{(\omega)_{b-a}} = N$$

$$(74) \quad (\omega)_{N-1} = (\omega)_a^* (\omega)_{N-1-a} = N$$

We can check for other m 's that $X_m^{(0)}(\omega)$ reduces to the invariant for the torus knot given in Ref. [7]. $\square$

As was proved in Ref. [7], the asymptotic expansion of knot invariant in a limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ can be written explicitly;

$$(75) \quad X_m^{(0)}(\omega) \simeq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} N^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} - \frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{(2m-1)^2}{4(2m+1)}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k (m-k) \sin\left(\frac{2k+1}{2m+1} \pi\right) e^{-N\pi i \frac{(2k+1)^2}{4(2m+1)}} + e^{-\frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{(2m-1)^2}{4(2m+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_m^{(0)}(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{\pi}{4(2m+1)Ni}\right)^n.$$

A case of $m = 1$ is given in Ref. [13] as “Kontsevich's conjectural asymptotic formula”. In proving above asymptotic expansion, we used a previously known another expression for the colored Jones invariant for the torus knot. Correspondingly for a case of $a \neq 0$ we have a theorem;

Theorem 17 (Conjecture in Ref. [7]).

$$(76) \quad X_m^{(a)}(\omega) \simeq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} N^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} - \frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{(2m-2a-1)^2}{4(2m+1)}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k (m-k) \sin\left((a+1) \frac{2k+1}{2m+1} \pi\right) e^{-N\pi i \frac{(2k+1)^2}{4(2m+1)}} + e^{-\frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{(2m-2a-1)^2}{4(2m+1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_m^{(a)}(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{\pi}{4(2m+1)Ni}\right)^n$$

This theorem indicates a nearly modular property [13] of the function $X_m^{(a)}(q)$ with weight $1/2$; we define functions $\widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(\alpha)$ by

$$(77) \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(\alpha) = e^{\frac{(2m-2a-1)^2}{4(2m+1)} \pi i \alpha} X_m^{(a)}(e^{2\pi i \alpha})$$

and introduce a vector $\widetilde{\Phi}_m(\alpha)$ by

$$(78) \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_m(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(m-1)}(\alpha) \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(1)}(\alpha) \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(0)}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

Eq. (76) is reformulated into

$$(79) \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_m\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) + (-iN)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)} \widetilde{\Phi}_m(-N) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{T}_m(n)}{n!} \left(\frac{\pi}{4(2m+1)iN} \right)^n$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)}$ is an $m \times m$ matrix with an entry

$$(80) \quad (\mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)})_{1 \leq a, b \leq m} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \cos\left(\frac{(2a-1)(2b-1)}{2(2m+1)}\pi\right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}_m(n) = \begin{pmatrix} T_m^{(m-1)}(n) \\ \vdots \\ T_m^{(1)}(n) \\ T_m^{(0)}(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof of Theorem 17. Eq. (70) indicates that the function $\widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(\alpha)$ coincides with a limit $\tau \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ of the q -series (15)

$$(81) \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{1}{8(2m+1)}n^2}$$

for $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. This is regarded as the Eichler integral of

$$(82) \quad \Phi_m^{(a)}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) q^{\frac{1}{8(2m+1)}n^2}$$

which is modular with weight $1/2$; it is straightforward to see that

$$(83) \quad \Phi_m^{(a)}(\tau + 1) = e^{\frac{(2m-2a-1)^2}{4(2m+1)}\pi i} \Phi_m^{(a)}(\tau)$$

and using the Poisson summation formula we obtain

$$(84) \quad \Phi_m(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{i}{\tau}} \mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)} \Phi_m(-1/\tau)$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)}$ is an $m \times m$ matrix defined in eq. (80), and

$$\Phi_m(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_m^{(m-1)}(\tau) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_m^{(1)}(\tau) \\ \Phi_m^{(0)}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}$$

To prove eq. (79) following Refs. [9, 13], we study an analogue of the Eichler integral defined by

$$(85) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{(2m+1)i}}{2\pi} \int_{z^*}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_m^{(a)}(\tau)}{(\tau - z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau$$

which is defined for z in the lower half plane $z \in \mathbb{H}^-$. By performing an integration term by term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(z) &= \frac{\sqrt{(2m+1)i}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) \int_{z^*}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\pi i \tau \frac{n^2}{4(2m+1)}}}{(\tau - z)^{3/2}} d\tau \\ &\xrightarrow{z \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)}(n) e^{\frac{n^2}{4(2m+1)} \pi i \alpha}\end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$(86) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(\alpha) = \widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(\alpha)$$

Note that l.h.s. is a limiting value from a lower half plane $\mathbb{H}^-$ while r.h.s. is given from an upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$.

To see a modular property of $\widehat{\Phi}_m(\tau)$, we define the period function by

$$(87) \quad r_m^{(a)}(z; \alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{(2m+1)i}}{2\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_m^{(a)}(\tau)}{(\tau - z)^{3/2}} d\tau$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. It is defined for $z \in \mathbb{H}^-$, but it is analytically continued to $\mathbb{R}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}(88) \quad \sum_{b=1}^m (\mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)})_{a,b} \widehat{\Phi}_m^{(m-b)}(-1/z) &= \sum_{b=1}^m (\mathbf{M}^{(2m+1)})_{a,b} \frac{\sqrt{(2m+1)i}}{2\pi} \int_{z^*}^0 \frac{\Phi_m^{(m-b)}(-1/s)}{(-s^{-1} + z^{-1})^{3/2}} \frac{ds}{s^2} \\ &= -(iz)^{3/2} \frac{\sqrt{(2m+1)i}}{2\pi} \int_{z^*}^0 \frac{\Phi_m^{(m-a)}(-1/s)}{(s - z)^{3/2}} ds \\ &= -(iz)^{3/2} (\widehat{\Phi}_m^{(m-a)}(z) - r_m^{(m-a)}(z; 0))\end{aligned}$$

We consider a limit $z \rightarrow 1/N$ in eq. (88). We see that an asymptotic expansion of $r_m^{(a)}(1/N; 0)$ in $N \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$r_m^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{N}; 0\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{L(-2k-1, \chi_{8m+4}^{(a)})}{k!} \left(\frac{\pi i}{4(2m+1)N}\right)^k$$

and eq. (86) indicates that $\widehat{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(z)$ coincides with $\widetilde{\Phi}_m^{(a)}(z)$ for $z = -N$ and $z = 1/N$. Recalling eq. (13), we can conclude eq. (79). $\square$

R

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1976.
- [2] ———, *q-Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra*, AMS, Providence, 1986.
- [3] ———, *Bailey's transform, lemma, chains and tree*, in *Special Functions 2000: Current Perspective and Future Directions*, J. Bustoz, M. E. H. Ismail, and S. K. Suslov, eds., NATO Sci. Ser. II **30**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 1–22.
- [4] G. E. Andrews, J. J. F. Fuchs, and K. Ono, *q-series identities and values of certain L-functions*, *Duke Math. J.* **108**, 395–419 (2001).
- [5] G. H. Cohen and K. Ono, *A q-series identity and the arithmetic of Hurwitz zeta functions*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**, 719–724 (2002).

- [6] G. G. Gelfand, M. R. Grais, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] K. Hikami, *Volume conjecture and asymptotic expansion of q -series*, preprint (2002).
- [8] R. M. Kashaev, *A link invariant from quantum dilogarithm*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10**, 1409–1418 (1995).
- [9] R. L. Li, D. Z. Ren, *Modular forms and quantum invariants of 3-manifolds*, Asian J. Math. **3**, 93–107 (1999).
- [10] J. L. Li, K. O. Ogata, *Hypergeometric generating functions for values of Dirichlet and other L -functions*, preprint (2002).
- [11] H. Murakami, J. Murakami, *The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot*, Acta Math. **186**, 85–104 (2001).
- [12] P. P. Schupp, *The concept of Bailey chain*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, **B18f** (1987) p. 24.
- [13] D. Z. Ren, *Vassiliev invariants and a strange identity related to the Dedekind eta-function*, Topology **40**, 945–960 (2001).

D. Z. Ren, P. P. Schupp, G. G. Gelfand, S. S. Shnider, U. Thakur, H. Tene, 7–3–1, B. Tene, 113–0033, J. Tene.

E-mail address: hikami@phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp